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The proof of (Geiss and Steinicke (2018), Theorem 3.5) needs an extra step addressing the problem that our conditions on the generator are not sufficient to guarantee the existence of the considered optional projection:

In Definition 3.3 we defined $f_{n}$ as the optional projection of

$$
(\omega, t, y, z, u) \mapsto{ }^{o, \mathbb{J}} f(n, \omega, t, y, z, u)
$$

with respect to $\mathbb{F}^{n}$ (given by $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{n}:=\mathcal{F}_{t} \cap \mathcal{J}^{n}$ ), with parameters ( $y, z, u$ ). However, this optional projection does not always exist for generators $f$ satisfying (A1)-(A3).

Sufficient for the existence of the optional projection of a process is boundedness or non-negativity. To guarantee the existence one can replace first $f(\omega, s, y, z, u)$ by

$$
f^{K}(\omega, s, y, z, u)=(-K) \vee f(\omega, s, y, z, u) \wedge K
$$

for some $K>0$.
Clearly, (A1) and (A2) are satisfied for $f^{K}$. Concerning (A3), one observes that only the cases where both factors of $\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(f(s, y, z, u)-f\left(s, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)\right)$ are either positive or negative are relevant. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min \left\{f(s, y, z, u)-f\left(s, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right), 0\right\} & \leq f^{K}(s, y, z, u)-f^{K}\left(s, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq \max \left\{f(s, y, z, u)-f\left(s, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right), 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(A3) is satisfied for $f^{K}$. The above inequality implies that also ( $A \gamma$ ) holds for $f^{K}$. Hence in order to prove Theorem 3.5, one first starts with $f^{K}$ and $f^{\prime K}$ and gets

$$
Y_{t}^{K} \leq Y_{t}^{\prime K} \quad \mathbb{P} \text {-a.s. }
$$

[^0]Next we will see that $\left\|Y_{t}-Y_{t}^{K}\right\|$ and $\left\|Y_{t}^{\prime}-Y_{t}^{\prime K}\right\|$ converge to zero for $K \rightarrow \infty$, so that $\quad Y_{t} \leq Y_{t}^{\prime} \quad \mathbb{P}$-a.s. follows. In the proof of Proposition 4.2 it was shown that for data $(\xi, f)$ and $\left(\xi, f^{K}\right)$ it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|Y_{t}-Y_{t}^{K}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq h\left(a, b, 2 \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left|Y_{t}-Y_{t}^{K}\right|\left|f\left(t, Y_{t}, Z_{t}, U_{t}\right)-f^{K}\left(t, Y_{t}, Z_{t}, U_{t}\right)\right| d t\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To see that the r.h.s. goes to zero, one can use that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left|Y_{t}-Y_{t}^{K}\right|\left|f\left(t, Y_{t}, Z_{t}, U_{t}\right)-f^{K}\left(t, Y_{t}, Z_{t}, U_{t}\right)\right| d t \\
\leq & \sqrt{T} \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|Y_{t}-Y_{t}^{K}\right\|\left(\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left|f\left(t, Y_{t}, Z_{t}, U_{t}\right)-f^{K}\left(t, Y_{t}, Z_{t}, U_{t}\right)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The factor $\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|Y_{t}-Y_{t}^{K}\right\|$ is bounded according to Proposition 4.1, and the integral goes to zero by monotone convergence. Since $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} h(a, b, x)=0$, one derives that $\lim _{K \rightarrow \infty}\left\|Y_{t}-Y_{t}^{K}\right\|=0$, and in the same way it follows $\lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} \| Y_{t}^{\prime}-$ $Y_{t}^{\prime K} \|=0$.

Moreover, Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 5.1 in Geiss and Steinicke (2018) are only valid, if $f_{n}$ in Definition 3.3 exists. For the proof of Theorem 3.5 this does not cause a problem since we need these results for $f_{n}^{K}$ only.

For more general conditions for the existence of an optional projection than nonnegativity or boundedness we refer to (Dellacherie and Meyer (1982), Remarks VI.44.(f)) and (He et al. 1992).
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